Automated Parallel Solid-Phase Synthesis of Non-Peptide CCR1 Receptor Antagonists

Brad O. Buckman*, Ameen Ghannam, Angela Li, Meina Liang, Raju Mohan and Howard P. Ng

Berlex Biosciences, 15049 San Pablo Ave, Richmond, CA 94804, USA

Abstract—An automated, parallel, solid-phase synthesis and screening strategy using commercially available aryl acetic acids as starting materials has discovered novel, non-peptide CCR1 antagonists ($K_i < 100 \text{ nM}$).

The CCR1 receptor is a member of the chemokine receptor family [1]. The role of chemokines in the immune response is to engage the immune cells against pathogenic organisms by direct recruitment and activation. Recent studies provide strong evidence for the important role of the chemokines RANTES and MIP-1 in chronic inflammatory diseases, such as multiple sclerosis and rheumatoid arthritis [2]. Since RANTES and MIP-1 are ligands for CCR1,

as a focus of drug discovery research [4]. In this case, our strategy was to rapidly synthesize single compounds to elucidate the effect of varying the aryl acetic acid moiety of 2. Furthermore, we chose to screen unpurified reaction products and only re-synthesize or purify interesting, active molecules. Compounds found in this manner could be esterified to give the more potent esters (*i.e.* 2a compared to 2b, Table 1). In this paper, we describe the automated

antagonists for this receptor are prime targets for the discovery of new therapies.

The discovery in our laboratories of hydroxypiperidines 1 as potent CCR1 receptor antagonists has been described (Table 1) [3]. Des-cyano analogs 2 show slightly weaker activity; within this series, the methyl carboxylate 2b has approximately 3-fold greater activity than the carboxylic acid 2a. Since 2a contains a carboxylic acid handle for attachment to a solid-phase polystyrene resin, we envisioned a synthesis using a diverse selection of commercially available phenyl- and heteroaryl acetic acids as starting materials.

Automated, parallel, solid-phase synthesis of small nonpeptide molecules for lead refinement has recently emerged synthesis, SAR, screening strategy and follow-up resynthesis of novel, non-peptide CCR1 receptor antagonists 2, 7a-q, and 8-10.

Our synthetic strategy was to optimize the previously reported solution-phase synthesis of compounds 1 [3] for use on an automated solid-phase synthesizer [5]. The first step required coupling of the diverse aryl acetic acid to the resin. Once this unique step was completed, the subsequent steps to attach the non-variant propylpiperidinol moiety would be both identical and parallel for all compounds. This strategy ensures that the synthesis be very amenable to automation.

The synthesis is described in Scheme 1 [6]. Each aryl acetic acid **3** was coupled to Wang resin using DIC and DMAP in CH₂Cl₂ to afford resin-bound inter-mediate **4**. Treatment with KHMDS in THF followed by 1-chloro-3-iodopropane afforded chloro intermediate **5**. Addition of 4-para-chlorophenyl-piperidin-4-ol with DMAP in DMF afforded completed molecule **6** which was now ready to be

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Berlex Biosciences, 15049 San Pablo Ave, Richmond, CA 94804, USA

Scheme 1.

cleaved from the resin. Cleavage was accomplished with 10% TFA in CH_2Cl_2 to afford final product **7**. In this manner, over 100 analogs were synthesized.

Table 1. Inhibition Data for Pure CCR1 Antagonists 1, 2, 8-

$$R_1$$
 R_2
 R_3
 N
 OH

cmpd	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	K _i (nM) ^a
1a ^b	Н	CN	Ph	54
1b ^b	Ph	CN	Ph	52
1c ^b	CO ₂ Me	CN	Ph	53
2a ^c	со ₂ н	Н	Ph	500
2b ^c	CO ₂ Me	Н	Ph	145
8 ^c	CO ₂ Me	Н	2-CF ₃ -Ph	74
9 ^c	CO ₂ Me	Н	3-Cl-Ph	187
10 ^c	CO ₂ Me	CN	2-CF ₃ -Ph	3730
11 ^b	Н	CN	2-CF ₃ -Ph	25% @ 10 µM

 $[^]a\mathrm{K}_i$ values are derived from competitive binding on CCR1 with $^{125}\mathrm{I}$ MIP-1 . Values represent the mean of n $^{-2}$. Standard deviations are <30% of the mean. See ref. 3b. $^b\mathrm{ref}$. 3a. $^c\mathrm{ref}$. 7].

Table 2. Inhibition Data for Unpurified Library Synthesis Products 7

cmpd	R aryl	K _i (nM) ^a
7a	2-CF ₃ -Ph	213
7b	3-(5-chlorobenzothiophene)	221
7c	2-pyridyl	228
7d	3,5-F ₂ -Ph	229
7e	2-F-Ph	334
7f	3-F-Ph	575
7g	2-naphthyl	852
7h	3-Cl-Ph	870
7i	Ph	880 b
7j	2,4-Cl ₂ -Ph	896
7k	2-thienyl	916
71	3-MeO-Ph	1510
7m	2,5-MeO ₂ -Ph	1610
7n	2,4-F ₂ -Ph	1730
70	3,4-F ₂ -Ph	1865
7p	2-Me-Ph	2635
7q	3,4-Cl ₂ -Ph	2940

 $^{a}\mathrm{K}_{i}$ values are derived from competitive binding on CCR1 with $^{125}\mathrm{I}$ MIP-1 . Values represent the mean of n 2 . Standard deviations are <30% of the mean. See ref. 3b $^{b}\mathrm{Average}$ of assay results from four unpurified synthetic preparations. Std dev = 363.

Sampled library members were analyzed by HPLC, ¹H NMR and MS. The isolated crude yields were in the range of 0-90%. The variety of acids used as starting materials demonstrates that the synthesis tolerated a wide range of substituents (Table 2). Both electron-rich aryl rings (71, 7m, 7p), electron poor (7a, 7d, 7j, 7n, 7o, 7q) aryl rings, and heteroaryl rings (7b, 7c, 7k) were tolerated.

The isolated, unpurified reaction products were screened for their activity to inhibit binding of radio-labeled human MIP-1 in HEK 293 cells expressing the human CCR1 receptor in a dose range, and these are reported as K_i values [3b]. The data for compounds that have a $K_i < 3 \ \mu M$ are summarized in Table 2. The K_i data for the unpurified parent phenyl compound 7i agrees with that of the purified 2a (Table 1). The lower activity of 7i is due to the fact that the screening concentration for 7i is determined from the theoretical yield. The most potent compound discovered is 2-CF₃-phenyl-substituted **7a**. Other aryl groups with *ortho* (7c, 7e, 7k) or meta substituents (7d, 7f, 7h) and the 2pyridyl analog 7c show moderate activity.

To assess the activity of compounds generated from the automated, parallel library synthesis, the parent phenyl compound (7i) was synthesized in each library as an internal control. By comparing the activity of the parallelsynthesized 7i to the independently synthesized, purified 2a, compounds 7 could be ranked relative to each other and to the internal standard 7i. This strategy allows rapid assessment of compounds for re-synthesis.

Our knowledge of the 3-fold increase in potency of the methyl carboxylate 2b over the carboxylic acid 2a encouraged us to synthesize methyl carboxylates 8 and 9 derived from carboxylic acids 7a and 7h. Gratifyingly, both methyl carboxylates $8 (K_i = 74 \text{ nM})$ and $9 (K_i = 187 \text{ nM})$ are approximately 3 to 5-fold more potent than the carboxylic acids analogs 7a and 7h. Intriguingly, 2trifluoromethylphenyl-substituted 7a is nearly 2-fold more potent than the parent unsubstituted phenyl compound 2b, and is nearly equipotent with the cyano-containing series 1ac. Expecting a similar increase in potency, we chose to synthesize the 2-trifluoromethylphenyl analogs of cyanocontaining 1b and 1a (e.g. 10 and 11, respectively). Unfortunately, both 10 and 11 displayed a surprising lack of potency, demonstrating that the SAR of the two series 1 and 2 may not be paralleled. The discrepancy of the activities of 1b and 1a versus 10 and 11 probably indicates that this portion of the template interacts fairly closely with the CCR1 receptor [3a].

In conclusion, we have shown that an automated, parallel, solid-phase synthesis and screening strategy of over 100 compounds 7, using commercially available aryl acetic acids as starting materials, has discovered novel, non-peptide CCR1 antagonists 8 and 9 ($K_i = 74$ and 187 nM, respectively).

REFERENCES AND NOTES

For a general review, see: Schall, T. The Chemokines. In [1] "The Chemokine Handbook"; Thompson, A., Ed.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, 1994; pp 419-460.

- Horuk, R.; Ng, H. P. Med. Res. Rev. 2000, 20, 155-168 and references cited therein.
- a) Ng, H. P.; May, K.; Bauman, J. G.; Ghannam, A.; Islam, I.; Liang, M.; Horuk, R.; Hesselgesser, J.; Snider, M. R.; Perez, H. D.; Morrissey, M. M. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 42, 4680-4694. b) Liang, M.; Rosser, M.; Ng, H. P.; May, K.; Bauman, J. G.; Islam, I.; Ghannam, A.; Kretschmer, P. J.; Pu, H.; Dunning, L.; Snider, R. M.; Morrissey, M. M.; Hesselgesser, J.; Perez, H. D.; Horuk, R. Eur. J. Pharmacol. **2000**, 389, 41-49. c) Hesselgesser, J.; Ng, H. P.; Liang, M.; Zheng, W.; May, K.; Bauman, J. G.; Monahan, S.; Islam, I.; Wei, G.-P.; Ghannam, A.; Taub, D. D.; Rosser, M.; Snider, R. M.; Morrissey, M. M.; Perez, H. D.; Horuk, R. J. Biol. Chem. 1998, 273, 15687-15692.
- [4] a) Krchnak, V.; Padera, V. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1998, 8, 3261-3264 (b) Brennan, T.; Biddison, G.; Frauendorf, A.; Schwarcz, L.; Keen, B.; Ecker, D. J.; Davis, P. W.; Tindler, R.; Swayze, E. E. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 1998, 61, 33-45.
- [5] Argonaut Nautilus, San Argonaut Technologies, Carlos, CA.
- Synthesis Procedure: To Wang resin (0.15 g, 1.2 mmol/g, [6] 0.18 mmol) and a diverse aryl acetic acid (0.27 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) was added 3 mL of a solution of DIC (1.5 equiv.) and DMAP (1.5 equiv.) in methylene chloride. The resin was agitated for 8 h followed by extensive washing with THF. THF (1 mL) was added followed by KHMDS in toluene (0.8 mL, 0.5 M, 2.2 equiv.) and then THF (0.8 mL). The resin was agitated for 0.5 h followed by addition of 1-chloro-3-iodopropane (0.8 mL, 4.1 equiv.) and THF (0.8 mL). The resin was heated to 60°C with agitation for 45 min, cooled and quenched with THFwater. The resin was washed extensively with THF. To the resin was added 3 mL of a solution of para-4chlorophenylpiperidin-1-ol (5 equiv.) and DMAP in DMF (0.3 equiv.) The resin was then heated to 70°C with agitation for 6 h. The resin was washed extensively with THF and the product was cleaved from the resin with 10 % TFA in methylene chloride for 20 min. The product 7 was collected with 2 methylene chloride rinses (1 mL each).
- All new compounds have MS, ¹H NMR and elemental [7] analysis consistent with their structure.